



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_5534
Title of the Manuscript:	PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF THE EUROPEAN UNION-ASSISTED WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION PROJECTS IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA: CONSTRAINTS, LESSONS AND SUCCESS FACTORS
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Yes it's need compulsory revision 1. All the tables not clear, so you have to make it clear for the readers. 2. The paper so long if possible make shorter. 3. I cannot understand where is the methodology?	<u>AUTHORS' FEEDBACK</u> Thank you for the comments. <i>My comments:</i> 1. The Tables are now in summary forms. 2. Many variables and factors are involved in the discussion of the results. Though, most of the sentences are long, but they seem to convey the findings in a more coherent manner. 3. The fish-bow has been explained in the corrected work. The technique is employed in the multi-stage procedure .
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		